

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,875	10/30/2003	Osamu Izumisawa	F-8017	9154
28107	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10168			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/696,875	IZUMISAWA, OSAMU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN INTERIOR OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN THE STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN THE STATE OF THE	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		This action is non-final.				
/	Since this application is in condition for al		s prosecution as to the merits is			
٠,ـــ	closed in accordance with the practice un					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
<u>4</u>) ⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the applica	tion				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) 1.0 is/are objected to.					
/	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	minor				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Evaminer			
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		· ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the		-			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
		roign priority under 25 LLC C S 1	10(a) (d) ar (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	• •				
	application from the International B		out of in this italional olago			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for		ceived.			
		•				
Attachmen	t/e)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date			
_	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/30/03</u> .	58/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what "the housing secures a support placed by a side surface of the serrate blade to render impossible contact between a portion of the serrate blade placed by the support" encompasses. In the specification said that the hook support 15 is secured to the cover portion 14 as to face both side of the blade and it is adjustable to avoid a blade holder 1 contacts the workpiece (see page 4, lines 10-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farmerie (5,212,887) in view of Schmitz (5,832,611).

Farmerie discloses (Fig. 1-5) the invention including:

- Claim 1; a serrate blade 66, a blade holder 64, a plunger 62, an engagement piece 72, an engagement long hole 76, an air motor (see col. 2, lines 10, where the invention can be operated with other types motor such as a pneumatic motor), a crack shaft 54 and a motor housing 14.
- Claim 3; a support 94.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Farmerie does not show a housing with a slit and a positional angle. However, Schmitz teaches the use of a housing 344 having a slit (see Fig. 15 and 17, where the wing nut is located) and a positional angle (see col. 8, lines 49-54, where the movable portion 330 is free for rotational adjustment) for the purpose of adjusting the angle of reciprocation relative to the motor housing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Farmerie's device by providing the housing with the slit and the positional angle as taught by Schmitz in order to obtain a device that adjusts the angle of reciprocation relative to the motor housing for versatility. Also, Schmitz show the motor housing 300 coupled to the side opening 354 (see Fig. 16) and the plunger 332 arranged inside the housing 344 (see Fig. 17).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stryker, Cecere and Moores are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner

Draw Eller

8/7/06